



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Admistrative Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,778	09/10/2003	Manabu Hayashi	117088	4066
25944	7590	04/22/2008		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			EXAMINER	
			STOREY, WILLIAM C	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2625		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		04/22/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/658,778	Applicant(s) HAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner WILLIAM C. STOREY	Art Unit 2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM C. STOREY.

(3) Amy Thekdi.

(2) King Poon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13, 14, 16, 22, 25, & 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kaufeld.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible amendments were discussed. The forwarding size upper limit amendment appeared to overcome the 102 Kaufeld rejection as currently presented. The 112 rejections appeared at quick glance to have been overcome by the amendments proposed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William C Storey/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.